

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/000,148

Applicant(s)

Maxwell et al

Examiner

Michael Carone

Art Unit

3641



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Carone

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Brian Kacedon

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jun 6, 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 91-100

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Kacedon responded by voicemail to a requirement made on June 2, 2003 by Mr. Carone. Mr. Carone indicated that the application was restrictable between at least a method of manufacturing a composite structure, a system of manufacturing a composite structure, a computer readable medium for controlling a system for manufacturing a composite structure, and a wing panel for an aircraft (claims 91-100). In that the wing could be made by a materially different process and by a process that could be controlled by means other than the specific computer readable medium, a restriction of claims 91-100 was proper. Mr. Kacedon indicated by voice mail that applicants chose not to elect the wing in this application. Therefore, in that the remaining claims would not be examined in AU 3641, the application would be transferred to TC 1700 for consideration of the remaining claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required